

Centre for Industrial Energy, Materials and Products



## **Embodied carbon & strategies for reduction**

## Jannik Giesekam

@jannikgiesekam Research Fellow in Energy, Materials and Climate Policy University of Leeds

**University of Bath** 

These slides are available from www.jannikgiesekam.co.uk/research

## Our mission

- » Working closely with government and industry, CIEMAP conducts research to identify all the opportunities along the product supply chain that ultimately deliver a reduction in industrial energy use
- » One of 6 RCUK funded centres focussing on end use energy demand in the UK
- » Interdisciplinary team from the universities of Leeds, Bath, Cardiff and Nottingham Trent, plus contributions from the Green Alliance



Centre for Industrial Energy, Materials and Products

www.ciemap.ac.uk

@CIEMAP



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS



#### Our work

- » Policy relevant research to understand the relationship between environmental pressures, the economy and society
- » Develop quantitative approaches to understand how energy and emissions interact with production and consumption systems
- » Develop scenarios to understand underlying drivers and policy responses to minimise environmental pressures

#### **UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions**



#### Our approach

» Combining economy wide and sector specific analyses along supply chains



CIEMAP (2016) A Low Carbon Future for the UK. Report available now from ciemap.ac.uk

Slide 4 of 30

## Our methods

» Mix of techniques required to analyse complex systems

#### Quantitative

- » Multi Region Input Output (MRIO)
- » Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): process based, IO and hybrids
- » Material Flow Accounting (MFA)
- » Exergy analysis

## Qualitative

- » Surveys
- » Interviews
- » Workshops
- » Other participatory approaches



## **CIEMAP work in construction**

#### Two key areas

- » Assessing current and future material use and embodied carbon emissions
- » Understanding the barriers to greater material efficiency and the use of low carbon materials



Giesekam et al. (2014, 2016, In Press); CCC (2015); GCB (2015); Giesekam et al. (2016) - all available at ciemap.ac.uk

#### Slide 6 of 30

## Paris Agreement on climate change

#### Global agreement in December 2015

- » Signed by 180 parties, ratified by 27 so far (representing 39% of global emissions)
- » Commits to "holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2 °C above preindustrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels"
- » With goal of achieving "a balance between anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in the second half of this century"
- » Commits parties to global stock-take and ratcheting up of ambitions every 5 years
- » IPCC to produce 2018 report on pathways to 1.5°C

	United Nations	FCCC/CP/2015/L.9/Rev.
$\langle \mathbb{C} \rangle$	Framework Convention on Climate Change	Distr.: Limited 12 December 2015
9,00		Original: English
Conference Twenty-first	e of the Parties session	
Paris, 30 Nov Agenda item 4 Durban Platf Adoption of a agreed outcon applicable to	emper to 11 December 2015 h(b) orm for Enhanced Action (decision 1/CP.17) 1 protocol, another legal instrument, or an me with legal force under the Convention all Parties	
	ADOPTION OF THE PARIS AGREE	EMENT
	Proposal by the President	
	Draft decision -/CP.21	
	The Conference of the Parties,	
	<i>Recalling</i> decision 1/CP.17 on the establishment the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action,	of the Ad Hoc Working Group on
	Also recalling Articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Convention	on,
	Further recalling relevant decisions of the Condecisions 1/CP.16, 2/CP.18, 1/CP.19 and 1/CP.20,	nference of the Parties, including
	Welcoming the adoption of United Nations A/RES/70/1, "Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda particular its goal 13, and the adoption of the Addis A International Conference on Financing for Developmen Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction,	s General Assembly resolution a for Sustainable Development", in Ababa Action Agenda of the third nt and the adoption of the Sendai
	Recognizing that climate change represents an u threat to human societies and the planet and thus requir by all countries, and their participation in an effect response, with a view to accelerating the reduction of glo	urgent and potentially irreversible res the widest possible cooperation tive and appropriate international obal greenhouse gas emissions,
	Also recognizing that deep reductions in global e to achieve the ultimate objective of the Convention and in addressing climate change,	emissions will be required in order emphasizing the need for urgency
	Acknowledging that climate change is a commo should, when taking action to address climate change, re respective obligations on human rights the right to healt	on concern of humankind, Parties espect, promote and consider their





# What might this look like?

#### One potential pathway



## Interim targets for the UK

#### Based on series of legally binding 5 year budgets

All targets against baseline of territorial emissions in 1990 1000 MtCO<sub>2</sub>e **UK GHG emissions** 900 **UK Carbon Budgets** 800 2050 target 700 23% 600 29% **↓**35% 500 **↓**50% 400 57% 300 200 80% 100 0 2050 1990 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 2025 2030 995

## **Construction 2025**

Targets 50% reduction in GHG emissions in the built environment

» Envisages a sustainable industry that *"leads the world in low-carbon and green construction exports"* 



HM Government (2013) Construction 2025

## Low Carbon Routemap

#### Initial report set out target trajectory to 2050

» 2013 routemap showed substantial reductions in capital carbon required in addition to operational reductions



Green Construction Board (2013) Low Carbon Routemap for the UK Built Environment

## Life cycle emissions

#### Common definition



## Low Carbon Routemap

## Progress report produced in December 2015

- » Progress to 2013 suggests we are not on trend to meet 2025 ambitions
- » Capital carbon emissions have increased since original report



Green Construction Board (2015) Low Carbon Routemap for the UK Built Environment. Routemap Progress Technical Report Slide 13 of 30

## **Carbon in UK construction**

#### Estimated carbon footprint of UK construction supply chain



» Embodied emissions in 2007

» Built environment emissions 1990-2013

Giesekam et al. (2014) *Energy and Buildings* 78 pp202-214

## Scope for mitigation in infrastructure

#### Assessment of embodied carbon in NIP for CCC

- » High level assessment projected ~244 MtCO<sub>2</sub>e associated with 2014 NIP
- » Next step is to integrate embodied carbon into asset level demand projections

# <section-header><section-header><section-header>



Infrastructure and Projects Authority Reporting to HM Treasury and Cabinet Office

#### National Infrastructure Delivery Plan 2016–2021



Assessment reported in CCC (2015) Meeting Carbon Budgets Report to Parliament

Slide 15 of 30

## **Required reductions**

Anticipated embodied emissions of UK construction 2001-2030

- » 27 scenarios using UK Buildings and Infrastructure Embodied Carbon model
- » Including improvements in grid intensity from DECC



Giesekam et al. (In Press) Scenario analysis of embodied greenhouse gas emissions in UK construction

Slide 16 of 30

## **Government Construction Strategy**

#### For the current parliament

- » One of the principal objectives is to *"enable and drive whole-life approaches to cost and carbon reduction"*
- » Objective 3.6 is to "Develop data requirements and benchmarks for measurement of whole-life cost and wholelife carbon (embodied and operational)"
- » "Government contracts will encourage innovative sustainability solutions on carbon reduction where value can be demonstrated"
- » Ultimately forming *"recommendations for a future approach"*



## **Drivers of low carbon construction**

#### **Client demands**

- » 50+ organisations signed up to Infrastructure Carbon Review
- » 30+ organisations with commitments to measure or reduce embodied carbon in buildings
- » 10+ Local Authorities interested



HM Treasury (2013) Infrastructure Carbon Review BSI (2016) PAS 2080: Carbon Management in Infrastructure

## Guidance on embodied carbon

#### Array of recent industry publications



WRAP (2011, 2014); RICS (2012); Clark (2013); Battle (2014); ICE (2011); UKGBC (2015); GLA(2013)

#### Slide 19 of 30

David H. Clark

LONDON

and Reporting Guidance

March 2013

## Academic research

## Rapidly expanding area of study

- » Pomponi & Moncaster provide recent overview of academic literature
- » Upcoming 2017 Springer book 'Embodied Carbon in Buildings: Measurement, Management, and Mitigation' will cover state-of-the-art

Journal of Environmental Management 181 (2016) 687-700			
Jo ELSEVIER iº	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect urnal of Environmental Management		
Review	ration and reduction in the built environment	<b>Table</b> Detail	<b>1</b> s of the mitigation strategies (MSs) identified in the literature.
What does the eviden	ce sav?	MS	Description
Francesco Pomponi <sup>*</sup> , Alice Moncaster Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington Street, CB21PZ Cambridge, UK		1 2 3	Practical guidelines for a wider use of low-EC materials Better design Reduction, re-use and recovery of EE/EC intensive construction mat
ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT	4 5	Tools, methods, and methodologies Policy and regulations (Governments)
Article history: Received 29 March 2016 Received in revised form 21 June 2016 Accepted 11 August 2016 Available online 21 August 2016 Keywords: Embodied carbon reduction Embodied carbon mitigation Low carbon built environment LCA buildings	Of all industrial sectors, the built environment puts the most pressure on the natural environment, and in spite of significant efforts the International Energy Agency suggests that buildings-related emissions are on track to double by 2050. Whilst operational energy efficiency continues to receive significant attention by researchers, a less well-researched area is the assessment of embodied carbon in the built environment in order to understand where the greatest opportunities for its mitigation and reduction lie. This article approaches the body of academic knowledge on strategies to tackle embodied carbon (EC) and uses a systematic review of the available evidence to answer the following research question: how should we mitigate and reduce EC in the built environment? 102 journal articles have been reviewed systematically in the fields of embodied carbon mitigation and reduction, and life cycle assessment. In total, 17 mitigation strategies have been identified from within the existing literature which have been discussed through a meta-analysis on available data. Results reveal that no single mitigation strategy alone seems able to tackle the problem; rather, a pluralistic approach is necessary. The use of materials with lower EC, better design, an increased reuse of EC-intensive materials, and stronger policy drivers all emerged as key elements for a quicker transition to a low carbon built environment. The meta-analysis on 77 LCAs also shows an extremely incomplete and short-sighted approach to life cycle studies. Most studies only assess the manufacturing stages, often completely overlooking impacts occurring during the occupancy stage and at the end of life of the building. The LCA research community have the responsibility to address such shortcomings and work towards more complete and meaningful assessments.		Refurbishment of existing buildings instead of new built Decarbonisation of energy supply/grid Inclusion of waste, by-product, used materials into building materials Increased use of local materials Policy and regulations (Construction sector) People-driven change (key role of all BE stakeholders) More efficient construction processes/techniques Carbon mitigation offsets, emissions trading, and carbon tax Carbon sequestration Extending the building's life Increased use of prefabricated elements/off-site manufacturing Demolition and rebuild

## **Current carbon assessment practice**

#### Numerous concerns

- » Assessments often retrospective and fail to inform product selection
- » Different system boundaries (cradle-to-gate, cradle-to-site, cradle-to-practical completion, cradle-to-cradle etc.)
- » Limited availability of product life cycle inventory data
- » Little evidence to support assumed building life times
- » Challenges capturing data on site
- » Knowledge of embodied carbon varies widely across industry

#### Recent signs of progress

- » Some segments of industry (e.g. water & sewerage) now making routine detailed assessments using component level databases
- » Increase in EPD production (3000+)
- » Numerous ongoing projects to further standardise assessment practice e.g. Innovate UK 'Implementing Whole Life Carbon in Buildings'

## **Example commitments**

#### To reduce embodied carbon in construction

#### » British Land target relative to concept design

>£50m: Achieve 15% reduction in embodied carbon in concrete, steel, rebar, aluminium and glass in construction, compared to the concept design

#### » Land Securities target

Carry out embodied carbon analysis to inform the selection and procurement of building materials to reduce environmental impacts and achieve at least a 15% reduction in embodied carbon

» M&S Plan A commitment

EMBODIED CARBON IN BUILDINGS

AM By 2020, we will reduce the embodied carbon in UK and ROI new store builds by addressing the carbon hotspots of walls, ceilings and floors where possible.

» Prologis UK have had requirements to minimise and offset remaining embodied carbon since 2009

#### » Anglian Water have already achieved substantial reductions since 2010

Capital (embodied) carbon emissions have reduced by 54% against our 2010 baseline. This

#### Medium-term target

Reduce capital carbon emissions by 60% by 2020 from a 2010 baseline.

ON PLAN

## Implementing EC assessment

#### Example checkpoints

RIBA Plan of Work 2013	0 Strategic Definition	1 Preparation and Brief	2 Concept Design	3 Developed Design	4 Technical Design	5 Construction	6 Handover and Close Out	7 In Use
Embodied Carbon Checkpoints	Identify opportunities for re-use of serviceable elements (typically substructure, frame, façade) or on-site recycling of materials from existing buildings/brown field sites. Assess potential to deliver objectives using temporary re-usable structures. Consider potential emissions impact of site choices.	Determine project embodied carbon target (e.g. based on building type and GIA, client ambition and available benchmark data). Review building embodied carbon footprint design tools, methods and data sources and compliance with relevant standards. Identify building embodied carbon footprint certification body and discuss selection of tool, method and initial data sources.	Allocate responsibility for carbon management within project team (e.g. designate roles as per PAS 2080). Determine embodied carbon target/allocation % for each building element. Complete initial building assessment model using element-level specifications. Review initial concept design embodied carbon footprint against project target. Identify elements with high impact rate and/or high quantity in building, review alternative solutions and revise design. Work iteratively; refer to building total regularly. Also consider impact of decisions on design life and maintenance cycles. Revise building embodied carbon target (if necessary). Produce 'Concept stage' embodied carbon report.	As technical/detailed des produced, replace element product-level specification Identify 'significant' product impact and/or high quanti For 'significant' products/ alternatives (of a different Identify overdesign; reduct quantities where possible Identify on-site waste red Identify products with Env Declarations and, where products, consider propri- Work iteratively; refer to the Produce 'Design stage' em for certification. Submit 'Design stage' em relevant data gathering o	ign information is nt-level specifications with ns. cts/materials that are high ty. materials investigate t product type). ce product/material uction opportunities. vironmental Product better than generic etary specification. building total regularly. mbodied carbon report ubodied carbon footprint to rganisations.	Ensure embodied carbon targets, reporting requirements and any stipulations on material specification and sourcing are clearly included in tender. Contractor credentials should be assessed against these requirements. Review effect of any product/material substitution requests from contactor. Work with contractor to further reduce overdesign and on-site waste.	Produce 'As constructed' embodied carbon report and final embodied carbon footprint based on 'actual' quantities. Submit 'As constructed' embodied carbon footprint for certification. Submit 'As constructed' embodied carbon footprint to relevant data gathering organisations. Ensure lessons learned are documented and communicated. Ensure handover information incudes embodied carbon report, including estimated service lives.	Periodically, ask building owner for update on actual repair and maintenance activities and submit to relevant data gathering organisations.

# Setting carbon intensity targets

### Examples of different approaches

- » Assess embodied carbon of concept design and then set target for embodied carbon at practical completion to be x% lower
- » Set a **whole life carbon target** of *x*kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/m<sup>2</sup>/year for an assumed design life based on comparison with **benchmark data**
- » Aim for an x% reduction in embodied carbon against the total for a **notional reference building** deemed to be typical of that building class
- » Assess the operational emissions at concept design stage then aim for equivalent reductions in embodied emissions to 'offset' anticipated life time operational emissions
- » Aim for an x% reduction in embodied carbon (in kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/m<sup>2</sup>) against a previous project the client has completed
- » Assess the **10 largest contributing elements** to the embodied carbon total and then achieve an *x*% reduction in those elements
- » and so on...

# Shortcomings of project targets

## Currently include

- » Different system boundaries preclude fair comparison between projects
- » Selection of target value often arbitrary
- » Relative comparisons with other buildings do not ensure consistency with sector or national carbon reduction targets
- » Little understanding of how these targets may change over time and the concomitant changes in materials and design
- » Targets often poorly communicated and rarely compiled
- » New paper addresses these issues, proposes means of linking project with sector targets and a new central information resource

#### BUILDING ON THE PARIS AGREEMENT: MAKING THE CASE FOR EMBODIED CARBON INTENSITY TARGETS IN CONSTRUCTION

Jannik Giesekam<sup>1</sup>, Danielle Densley-Tingley<sup>2</sup>, and John Barrett<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> Sustainability Research Institute, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, University of Sheffield

#### <u>ABSTRACT</u>

Progressive clients are targeting embodied carbon reduction through the introduction of carbon intensity targets (CITs). CITs challenge design teams to deliver buildings with supply chain carbon emissions below a set level per functional unit. Despite CITs acting as the current determination of CITs. The fourth section proposes measures to improve the future determination of CITs, and the fifth section considers the corresponding drivers for their use. The final section draws together some outstanding questions that should be the subject of future research.

# **CIEMAP work in construction**

#### Two key areas

- » Assessing current and future material use and embodied carbon emissions
- » Understanding the barriers to greater material efficiency and the use of low carbon materials

## Within the industry

» Conducted surveys and interviews and undertaking an ongoing programme of stakeholder engagement

#### And amongst end users

- » Current collaboration between universities of York, Sheffield and Leeds assessing 'public perceptions and experiences of low carbon building materials'
- » Online survey currently open
- » Workshops scheduled for 2017





quently, building are also responsible for 199 global greenhouse gas (GHC) emissions (Intergray mental Panel on Climate Gange (IPCC), 201 greatera basement opportunities for reducing G emissions in the short-term (IPCC, 2014; McK; & Cox, 2009). Noley-makers have responded to through the introduction of regulations range on the short-term (IPCC, 2014; McK; McK). The short-term of the short-term of buildings Directive. These regulations have per pally focused on the operational GHC emission

perational GHG emissions wi

Slide 26 of 30

## Why use low carbon materials?

## Potential benefits

- » Embodied/capital carbon reduction
- » Improved resource efficiency
- » Improved air quality and occupant health
- » Better resource security
- » Greater energy efficiency
- » Improved social sustainability (e.g. local employment) etc.

#### Drivers and incentives

- » Cost savings
- » Client demands
- » Credits in environmental assessment schemes (BREEAM, LEED etc.)
- » Green reputation
- » Moral convictions

## Securing additional drivers

### **Client led drivers**

- » Requires stronger evidence on link between cost and carbon
- » Changes in culture required to ensure implementation
- » Voluntary initiatives a good starting point
- » Leadership is required in absence of clear business case

#### Regulation

- » Must address ownership of issue within industry and government
- » Needs collective action from broader range of advocates across value chain
- » Narrative development is critical
- » Further evidence gathering required

## Vast international scope

GHG emissions of construction sector supply chain by country



# » Construction firms in these 14 countries alone influence 4.4 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e of supply chain emissions

# Summary

#### Embodied carbon status quo

- » The UK construction industry must address embodied carbon if sector carbon reduction targets are to be met
- » Sizeable mitigation potential if range of known measures are adopted
- » Still wide variations in embodied carbon assessment practices but more guidance and product data available
- » Introduction of embodied carbon targets is the best approach to motivate requisite changes in design, product selection and construction practices
- » Project targets not yet consistent with national and sector targets
- » Many potential benefits but few strong drivers for sustainable material use
- » Industry, academia and government must work together to translate ambitious carbon targets into robust drivers